ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: M09320 Date Received: 08/02/12 Date Extracted: 08/09/12 Date Analyzed: 08/10/12 Matrix: Water Units: ug/L (ppb)

Client: Project: Alaskan Copper Works

Metro Self Monitor M09320, F&BI 208034 208034-01 10x

Lab ID: Data File:

208034-01 10x.027

Instrument: ICPMS1 Operator:

Upper

Internal Standard: Germanium

% Recovery: 77

Lower Limit: 60

Limit: 125

Concentration Analyte: ug/L (ppb) Chromium 321

Nickel 213 Copper 448 Zinc 19.3

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Method Blank Date Received: Not Applicable 08/09/12 Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: 08/10/12

Matrix: Water Units: ug/L (ppb)

Internal Standard:

Germanium

Analyte:

Client: Project: Lab ID:

Alaskan Copper Works

Metro Self Monitor M09320, F&BI 208034 I2-526 mb

Data File:

Instrument: Operator:

I2-525 mb.041 ICPMS1 btb

Lower Limit: % Recovery: 87 60

Upper Limit: 125

Concentration ug/L (ppb)

Chromium <1 Nickel <1 Copper <1 Zinc <1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 08/14/12 Date Received: 08/02/12

Project: Metro Self Monitor M09320, F&BI 208034

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 208006-01 (Matrix Spike)

Analyte	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Sample Result	Percent Recovery MS	Percent Recovery MSD	Acceptance Criteria	RPD (Limit 20)
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	<1	76	75	71-130	1
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	2.24	71	66 vo	71-120	7
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	<1	74	69	52-134	7
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	26.2	67 b	122 b	51-142	58 b

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

	Percent												
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance									
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria									
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	101	80-119									
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	100	83-119									
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	98	81-120									
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	100	82-120									

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb Analyte present in the blank and the sample.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- J The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- jl The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- nm The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- pr The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

	Section 2	Santik, WA 94119-3029	3012 16th Avenue West	Priedman & Briga, Inc.	,		e e e							MO9320	Sample ID		Phone #26-571-6033	City, State, IIP SEATLE	Company ALASKAN Address 628 S.	Send Report To
R		1	ř	_											Ð		2300-14	SEATT		GERRO
		£	138	1										<u>6</u>	9		**************************************		Capse (
	100	9/12	١	NAME OF STREET				i i						8/2/p	7		# # 26-382-430S	5 PM	. ST	ton Day
	• (e	V	4										1270) je		305	12885		
		15.15T	Chenero											HzD	Sample Type			REMARKS	MERRO SELS MONITOR	SAMPLE SIS (Manders)
4			1 1	THAN THINY										/	# of				AMENO.	(signature,
1		roz much	Thompson	MAN	+								-		TPH-Dissel	Π			0~17c	
	ES.	121	Ĺ	F	1	1 1			1	1	_1	1	1		BTEL by somi	_		Ø.	م	\bigcup
			s S										101		VOCs by SERV SVOCs by 8270				3	
		Z	2	_}	\dashv									7	HPS	-15			mo9320	ia Ba
		3,	tow		+					\dashv				Y	Occu Me 2	3			20	
1000000	•			3									7			G LEADER			. w & ~	
							·									P	1	BAMPI	Rank of	-5 -6
		7	25	D-	4	4				_						41	Will coll of		4	8
		`	h	DATE													F	LE DESPO	b charges authorise	800
		7	1/.3	1											Notes .		dradions	SAMPLE DESPOSAL	Shusherd (3 Wooks) Shush (2 Wooks) Each charges sutherized by	THE GRUDGENAUT
	*		1.30ps	TIME				l					1		₹1.		E	Ę	3.	5

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

August 14, 2012

Gerald Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on August 2, 2012 from the Metro Self Monitor M09320, F&BI 208034 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ACU0814R.DOC